

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/503,770 2/14/00 Perreault

EXAMINER
----------

R. Harrison

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1619 4

DATE MAILED:

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jason W. Johnston (3)

(2) Robert H. Harrison (4)

Date of Interview May 31, 2001

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative)Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: proposed claims 1, 11, 23

Identification of prior art discussed: of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: all issues of formality will be addressed. A new PTO-1449 is not needed since one is new of record. Cationic aqueous hydrogel is argued to distinguish over 356 since amphoterics polymers are taught. 307 requires an oil emulsion structure but instant invention does not have an oil emulsion structure. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary: A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Not: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Robert H. Harrison  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1619